Judicial Control Over Administration And Protect The Rule of Law, Human Rights and Judicial Control of Power--Rainer Arnold 2017-05-16 Judicial control of public power ensures a guarantee of the rule of law. This book addresses the scope and limits of judicial control at the national level, i.e. the control of public authorities, and at the supranational level, i.e. the control of States. It explores the risk of judicial review leading to judicial activism that threatens the principle of the separation of powers or the legitimate exercise of state powers. It analyzes how national and supranational legal systems have embodied certain mechanisms, such as the principles of reasonableness, proportionality, deference and margin of appreciation, as well as the horizontal effects of human rights that help to determine how far a court can go. Taking a theoretical and comparative view, the book first examines the conceptual bases of the various control systems and then studies the models, structural elements, and functions of the control instruments in selected countries and regions. It uses country and regional reports as the basis for the comparison of the convergences and divergences of the implementation of control in certain countries of Europe, Latin America, and Africa. The book’s theoretical reflections and comparative investigations provide answers to important questions, such as whether or not there are nascent universal principles concerning the control of public power, how strong the grip of particular legal traditions is, and to what extent international law concepts have had harmonizing and strengthening effects on international public power control.

Law and Leviathan--Cass R. Sunstein 2020-09-15 From two legal luminaries, a highly original framework for restoring confidence in a government bureaucracy increasingly derided as “the deep state.”

The Administrative Process--James M. Landis 1966

Judicial Control Over Administration in Lebanon--Malak Dowari El-Haddad 1998 The importance of studying the Lebanese Council of State lies in the fact that respect of law and legal institutions is one way to measure the development of a country and the efficiency of all its institutions; therefore, when the Lebanese Council of State is performing its role properly, our administration will tend to work more efficiently towards public interest. The performance of the Council of State is closely tied with the working of administration as well as with citizens’ welfare and interest. -Our Council of State is presently ensuring fair protection for citizens against administrative abuse. However, the need is forcing it the means for enforcing the implementation of its decisions, and adding to this jurisdiction to go beyond examining the legality of administrative acts, to control the course of actions of administrators, as applicable in the American system. The methodology adopted throughout this thesis consists mainly of book reviews, articles, legal documents and personal interviews.

The New Problem of Administration--John Merriman Gaus 1924

Administrative Law in Venezuela--Allan R. Brewer-Carias 2013 Administrative law is a subject on which Professor Allan R. Brewer-Carias has been working, writing and publishing during the past fifty years, since his first book published in Caracas in 1964, on “The Fundamental Institutions of Administrative Law and the Venezuelan Jurisprudence” (Las Instituciones Fundamentales del Derecho Administrativo y la Jurisprudencia Administrativa del Estado de Venezuela, Caracas 1964). Since then, he has published many books and articles treating matters of Administrative Law mainly in Spanish, being this book his first in English on the subject. Since 2005, after fixing his permanent residence in New York and after accomplishing his work as Adjunct Professor of Law at Columbia Law School where he thought a Seminar on “Constitutional Protection of Human Rights in Latin America,” he has acted a Lawyer and Legal Counsel giving legal opinions on Venezuelan administrative law and the result of such professional work has been published in this admirable book that provides information on the country’s sources of administrative law, the organization of Public Administration, the administrative action accomplished by its different organs and entities in the federal, state and municipal levels of government, the administrative procedures and principles of the scope of the principle of legality applicable to administrative action; the use and enactment of administrative acts in order for the Administration to decide on particular matters, and the use of administrative contracts in order to associate private persons and institution to Public Administration and public activity. Also, through the book the reader is able to get a clear overview of the legal procedure, the jurisdiction, the responsibilities, the functions of the administrative courts, the administrative acts in order for the Administration to decide on particular matters, and the use of administrative contracts, in order to associate private persons and institutions to Public Administration and private activity. The book contains a number of principles that underlie this moral regime. Officials who respect that morality never fail to make rules in the first place. They ensure transparency, so that people can rely on current rules, which are not under constant threat of change. They make rules that are understandable and avoid issuing rules that contradict each other. These principles may seem simple, but they have a great deal of power. Already, without explicit enunciation, they limit the activities of administrative agencies every day. But we can aspire for better. In more robust form, these principles could address many of the concerns that have given rise to the administrative state crowning what they see as the death of the rule of law. The bureaucratic Leviathan may be an inexplicable reality of complex modern democracies, but Sunstein and Vermeule show how we can at last make peace between those who accept its necessity and those who yearn for its downfall.

Judicializing the Administrative State--Hiroshi Okayama 2018-10-15 A basic feature of the modern US administrative state taken for granted by legal scholars but neglected by political scientists and historians is its strong jurisdiction. Formal, or court-like, adjudication was the primary mechanism of first-order agency policy making during the first half of the twentieth century. Even today, most US administrative agencies hire administrative law judges and other adjudicators conducting hearings using formal procedures autonomously from the agency head. No other industrialized democracy has ever been the United States. Why did the American administrative state become judiciary-led rather than developing a more efficiency-oriented Weberian bureaucracy? Legal scholars argue that lawyers as a profession imposed the judicial procedures they were the most familiar with on agencies. But this explanation is far from complete. To understand the US administrative state, one needs to understand the role of Second-Order Policy Making, the political influence of the US administrative state on US law.

A Comparative Study of Judicial Review in the People’s Republic of China and in Certain Other Jurisdictions--Mei Hong 1992 The aim of this thesis is to challenge China’s administrative law both in its practice and in its theory and to propose further reform by a comparative study of other models. – The key point of judicial review is that it is an independent judicial check over administrative actions. This is both for the purpose of protecting the individual’s rights and for the promotion of good administration. Based on this concept, this thesis begins with a discussion of whether judicial review existed or exists in China by examining China’s legal history and certain contemporary issues. I conclude that the idea of judicial review was introduced into China around 1914, a separate Administrative Court was set up in 1922 and abolished in 1949. The concept of judicial review was re-introduced in China in 1982, but only partly so since the People’s Court has no power to invalidate delegated legislation. Through an historical study of Chinese law, it can be seen that judicial review did not have any chance of survival in imperial China. This is because there was no recognition of a separation of powers, little independence of the judiciary and an ignorance of the concept of the rule of law. These principles are the essence of the necessary pre-conditions for a successful system of judicial review. – How to solve the problem? Learning from the experience of other countries will be a great benefit to China. In particular, after 1997, Hong Kong will be a special
administrative region of the PRC, but will retain the common law. There will be possibilities of conflict between the different legal systems, and Hong Kong has therefore been chosen as the first model, followed by the English model on which it is based and then the US model is discussed. There is a brief study of pre-conditions for judicial review in France, as well as some socialist countries, such as Poland and the USSR.

Perils of Judicial Self-Government in Transitional Societies: David Kozal 2016-03-17 This book investigates the mechanisms of judicial control to determine an efficient methodology for independence and accountability. Using over 800 case studies from the Czech and Slovak disciplinary courts, the author creates a theoretical framework that can be applied to future case studies and decrease the frequency of accountability perversions.

Judicial Review of Administrative Policy-Adam Szer 2019 The publication shows the impact of judicial decisions on the discretionary power of public administration. This issue is analyzed in relation to the process of issuing individual decisions by the administration, which have a dominant influence on the sphere of rights and freedoms of man. Judicial influence on public administration discretion is shown in the context of various models of judicial control of public administration.

Judicial Fortitude-Peter J. Wallison 2018-10-16 In this book, Peter J. Wallison argues that the administrative agencies of the executive branch have gradually taken over the legislative role of Congress, resulting in what many now call the administrative state. The judiciary bears the major responsibility for this development because it has failed to carry out its primary constitutional responsibility: to enforce the constitutional separation of powers by ensuring that the elected branches of government—the legislative and the executive—remain independent and separate from one another. The legacy of the Chevron deference adopted by the Supreme Court in 1984, the judiciary has abandoned this role. It has allowed Congress to delegate lawmaking authorities to the administrative agencies of the executive branch and given these agencies great latitude in interpreting their statutory authorities. Unelected officials of the administrative state have thus been enabled to make decisions for the American people that, in a democracy, should only be made by Congress. The consequences have been grave: unnecessary regulation has imposed major costs on the U.S. economy, the constitutional separation of powers has been compromised, and unaccounted agency rulemaking has created a significant threat that Americans will one day question the legitimacy of their own government. To address these concerns, Wallison argues that the courts must return to the role the Framers expected them to fulfill.

Trial Court Performance Standards: 1993 Law—Ronald A. Cass 2020-02-02 Administrative Law: Cases and Materials is the product of a longstanding collaboration by a distinguished group of authors, each with extensive experience in the teaching, scholarship, and practice of administrative law. The Eighth Edition preserves the book’s distinctive features of functional organization and extensive use of case studies, with the book illuminating the common features of diverse administrative practices and the interconnection of otherwise disparate doctrines. Scattered throughout the book, case studies present leading judicial decisions in their political, legal, institutional, and technical context, thereby providing the reader with a much fuller sense of the reality of administrative practice and the important policy implications of seemingly technical legal doctrines. At the same time, the Eighth Edition fully captures the headline-grabbing nature of federal administrative practice in today’s politically divided world. New to the Eighth Edition: New insight into the thinking of the Supreme Court’s newest Justices on crucial separation-of-powers questions (especially in excerpts from the Gundy, Kisor, and PHH cases); new context-oriented and evidence-based case excerpts from the Obama and Trump administrations; new and updated material on atypical administrative ruling agencies such as the Center for Disease Control and Prevention.

The Lebanese Bureau of Accounts as the Central Control Agency: Rima Shaftik Shaar 1994 This thesis deals with an important subject: the Lebanese Bureau of Accounts as a central control agency of Lebanon public administration. The purpose of this study is to examine the organizational structures and functions of the Bureau from an administrative perspective with some special emphasis on its main developments and work as prescribed by Law No. 132 enacted on April 14, 1992. It discusses also the importance of financial accountability in government and the necessity of an independent auditing control agency from a comparative perspective. The Bureau of Accounts is an administrative agency charged with the supervision of the administration of public funds. Its role is to control the administratively the use of public funds and their conformity to the prevailing rules, laws, and regulations. The Bureau of Accounts was established in 1951. It performs both administrative and judicial functions. The administrative functions of the Bureau includes pre-audit, and post-audit control, whereas the judicial functions include control of accounts and control of public employees. The problems that the Bureau of Accounts faces are to be divided into two broad divisions: internal and external. The internal problems that the Bureau faces while it performs its duties and responsibilities are to be subdivided into three parts: legislative, administrative, and professional. The legislative problems include: 1- Deficiencies in Lebanon’s Bureau of Accounts legislation specifically pertaining to its constitutional rights and privileges and its autonomy. 2- Discrepancy between what the law stipulates and what is put actually into practice. 3- No clear dichotomy between politics and administration. The administrative problems include: 1- Shortage of qualified personnel in the Bureau of Accounts. 2- More concern and emphasis on pre-audit rather than post-audit control. 3- Manual accounting methods. The professional problems include: 1- Unavailability of machines and equipments in the Bureau of Accounts. 2- Public employees lack the experience to use computers in performing their duties. In conducting its supervision and control functions, the Bureau encounters many problems with public agencies and ministries. This study concentrates on the problems the Bureau faces with three important ministries. These were chosen for the following reasons: The Ministries of Public Works and National Education and Youth and Sports, for their sizeable share of public budget estimated at around 4.8% and 4.2% respectively of the whole public budget; and the Ministry of Finance for its important role in financial control. The external problems of the Bureau of Accounts may be summarized as follows: 1- Illegality of the financial transactions. 2- Violations of the prevailing rules, laws and regulations. 3- Inefficiency in performing government activities. The solutions proposed by this study and by some bureaucrats may be summarized as follows: 1- To review government laws, rules and regulations; 2- To increase the number of staff of the Bureau of Accounts; 3- To introduce new methods and techniques in the Bureau to facilitate the computation and preservation of transactions; 4- To replace the manual accounting methods by new modernized systems; 5- To raise pre-audit control from five to ten million Lebanese pounds; 6- To grant the Bureau of Accounts more autonomy and independence in performing its duties and responsibilities; 7- To recruit and train new employees in the Bureau of Accounts; 8- To equip the Bureau’s library with documents and references pertinent to the subject matter; 9- To raise the salary of public employees in the Bureau of Accounts so that they will not be tempted to move to the private sector; 10- To appoint engineers, surveyors, and technicians in the Bureau of Accounts to estimate the values of materials and equipment; 11- To issue a special law enabling the Bureau to exercise control over the economic and development plans; 12- To determine the principles of the Bureau’s control over institutions that have financial relations with the government; 13- To issue periodical bulletins explaining government policies in the public sector; 14- To avoid conflicting interests between the Bureau of Accounts and the Council of Ministers; and, 15- To promote the principles of efficiency and effectiveness in public administration. On the whole, although the Bureau of Accounts in Lebanon faces many problems and weaknesses, it has proved to be, however, an indispensable central financial control agency, without whose existence public funds will be abused and wasted.

The Legal Foundations of Public Administration-Donald D. Barry 2005 The third edition of this highly respected textbook introduces students of public administration to the practical issues of administrative law. While useful to law school students, it is most relevant to public management students. The presentation provides a concise foundation to the history and theory of administrative law. The most important issues in administrative law are included meaningful issues for present and future administrators. A larger number of recent cases and other up-to-date information will be found in the book in order to make the student aware of the kinds of legal problems likely to be encountered in public agencies. One or two cases illustrates each problem at hand, rather than discussing numerous arrane court decisions and technicalities of legal procedure, in order to sketch the broad contours of the present law.

Model Code of Judicial Conduct-American Bar Association 2007 Administrative Law in the Political System-Kenneth Warren 2019-08-06 Emphasizing that administrative law must be understood within the context of the political system, this core text combines a descriptive administrative system approach with a social science focus. Author Kenneth F. Warren explains the role of administrative law in shaping, guiding, and restricting the actions of administrative agencies. Professors and students will appreciate Warren's distinctive emphasis on the varying perspectives and views of administrative law. Thoroughly revised, the sixth edition emphasizes current trends in administrative law, recent court decisions, and the impact the Trump administration has had on public administration and administrative law. Special attention is devoted to how the neo-conservative revival, strengthened by Trump appointments to the federal judiciary, have influenced the direction of administrative law and impacted the administrative state. Administrative Law in the Political System examines the role of administrative law from the perspectives of Politics, and Regulatory Policy. Sixth Edition is a comprehensive administrative law textbook written by a social scientist for social science students, especially upper division undergraduate and graduate students in public administration, public policy and administration programs.
appointments, and lawmakers accusing judges of being "arrogant, out of control, and unaccountable." Many pundits see a dim future for the autonomy of America's courts. But do we really understand the balance between judicial independence and Congress's desire to limit judicial reach? Charles Geyh's When Courts and Congress Collide is the most sweeping study of this question to date, and an unprecedented analysis of the relationship between Congress and our federal courts. Efforts to check the power of the courts have come and gone throughout American history, from Jeffersonian Congress's struggle to undo the work of the Federalists, to FDR's campaign to pack the Supreme Court, to the epic Senate battles over the Bork and Thomas nominations. If legislators were solely concerned with curbing the courts, Geyh suggests, they would use direct means, such as impeaching uncooperative judges, gerrymandering their jurisdictions, stripping the bench's oversight powers, or slashing judicial budgets. Yet, while Congress has long been willing to influence the courts, it has not attempted to do so in a systematically and ideologically unacceptable manner. It has, with only rare exceptions, resisted employing more direct methods of control. When Courts and Congress Collide is the first work to demonstrate that this balance is governed by a "dynamic equilibrium," a constant give-and-take between Congress's desire to control the courts and its respect for historical norms of judicial independence. It is this dynamic equilibrium, Geyh says, rather than what the Supreme Court or the Constitution says about the separation of powers, that defines the limits of the judiciary's independence.

Legislators have long sought to undermine the courts, both because the judiciary represents a threat to their power and because many actors within the system find the courts' effects on policy undesirable. Despite the changes in the nature of institutional conflict over judges, the institutional logic of the relationship between Congress and the courts remains the same: the courts gain autonomy over the judiciary, but Congress is able to limit judicial independence by blocking the appointments of ideologically unacceptable nominees, it has, with only rare exceptions, resisted employing more direct methods of control. When Courts and Congress Collide is the first work to demonstrate that this balance is governed by a "dynamic equilibrium," a constant give-and-take between Congress's desire to control the courts and its respect for historical norms of judicial independence. It is this dynamic equilibrium, Geyh says, rather than what the Supreme Court or the Constitution says about the separation of powers, that defines the limits of the judiciary's independence.

When Courts and Congress Collide is the first work to demonstrate that this balance is governed by a "dynamic equilibrium," a constant give-and-take between Congress's desire to control the courts and its respect for historical norms of judicial independence. It is this dynamic equilibrium, Geyh says, rather than what the Supreme Court or the Constitution says about the separation of powers, that defines the limits of the judiciary's independence.

When Courts and Congress Collide is the first work to demonstrate that this balance is governed by a "dynamic equilibrium," a constant give-and-take between Congress's desire to control the courts and its respect for historical norms of judicial independence. It is this dynamic equilibrium, Geyh says, rather than what the Supreme Court or the Constitution says about the separation of powers, that defines the limits of the judiciary's independence.
The National Strategy for the COVID-19 Response and Pandemic Preparedness is required reading for anyone interested in or concerned about the COVID-19 pandemic and its effects on American society.

President Joe Biden’s administration has set seven crucial goals with regards to the coronavirus pandemic:

1. Restore trust with the American people.
3. Protect the health and safety of all Americans.
4. Support our healthcare workers and our fragile healthcare system.
5. Invest in the Response and Recovery Fund to ensure an effective and equitable vaccine Rollout.
6. Give all Americans access to testing and treatment.
7. Support the World Health Organization’s global health security agenda.

The Magna Carta—King John of England 2018-04-06 The Magna Carta, Latin for "Great Charter" (literally "Great Paper"), also known as "Magna Carta Libertatum," is an English 1215 charter which limited the power of English Monarchs, specifically King John, from absolute rule. The Magna Carta was the result of disagreements between the Pope and King John and his barons over the rights of the king. Magna Carta required the king to accept that the crown could be bound by law. The Code of Hammurabi was a Mesopotamian legal code that laid a foundation for later Hebrew and European law. The Magna Carta is widely considered to be the first step in a long historical process leading to the rule of constitutional law and is one of the most famous documents in the world. Originally issued by King John of England in 1215, the original text includes 63 clauses. The Magna Carta was the first time the English crown accepted a written constitution. King John, who was subject to the law, was sympathetic to its enactment. Although nearly a third of the text was deleted or substantially rewritten within ten years, and almost all the clauses have been repealed in modern times, Magna Carta remains a cornerstone of the British constitution. Most of the 63 clauses granted by King John dealt with specific grievances relating to his rule. However, during the Crusades, they were a number of fundamental values that both challenged the autocracy of the king and proved highly adaptable in future centuries. Magna Carta has been described as "one of the most famous documents in the world." It was issued by King John of England (r.1199-1216) as a practical solution to the political crisis he faced in 1215, Magna Carta was a law setting certain restrictions on the king's power.

Courting Peril—Charles Gardner Geyh 2016-01-21 The rule of law paradigm has long operated on the premise that independent judges disregard extralegal influences and impartially uphold the law. A political transformation several generations in the making, however, has imperiled this premise. Social science literature, the lessons of which have been widely internalized by court critics and the general public, has shown that judicial decision-making is subject to ideological and other extralegal influences. In recent decades, challenges to assumptions underlying the rule of law paradigm have proliferated across a growing array of venues, as critics aptly argue for greater political control of judges and courts. With the future of the rule of law paradigm in jeopardy, this book proposes a new way of looking at how the role of the American judiciary should be conceptualized and regulated. The new "legal culture paradigm" defends the need for an independent judiciary to be free from extralegal influence, but also acknowledges this ideal is unattainable. The book argues that the rule of law paradigm cannot be fully eliminated, but can be managed, by balancing the needs for judicial independence and accountability against competing priorities. The 7th Transforming through the rule of law (also known as "the affirmative action in crafting for the identical law in all cases") entitled to take law seriously but is subject to political and other extralegal influences. The book argues that the extralegal influence cannot be eliminated but can be managed, by balancing the needs for judicial independence and accountability against competing priorities.

President Control Over Administration—Patrick R. O’Brien 2022 The US Constitution recognizes the president as the sole legal head of the executive branch. The current constitutional authority, however, the president’s actual control over administration varies significantly in practice from one president to the next. Presidential Control over Administration provides a new approach for studying the presidency and policymaking that centers on the critical and often overlooked historical variable. To explain the different configurations of presidential control over administration that recur throughout history—collapse, innovation, stabilization, and constraint—O’Brien develops a new theory that incorporates historical variation in a combination of key restrictions such as time, knowledge, and the structure of government as well as key incentives such as providing acceptable performance and implementing preferred policies. O’Brien then tests the theory by tracing the policymaking process in the domain of public finance across nearly a century of history, beginning with President Herbert Hoover during the Great Depression and ending with the first two years of the Trump presidency. Although the book focuses on historical variation in presidential control, especially during the New Deal era and the Reagan era, the theory and empirical analysis are highly relevant for recent incumbents. In particular, O’Brien shows that during the Great Recession and beyond the initial efforts of Presidents Barack Obama and Donald Trump to change the established course during a period of unified party control of the government were largely undercut by each president’s limited control over administration. Presidential Control over Administration is a groundbreaking contribution to our understanding of the presidency and policymaking.

The Justice of Constantine—John Dilllon 2012-07-20 As the first Christian emperor of Rome, Constantine the Great has long interested those studying the establishment of Christianity. But Constantine is also notable for his ability to control a sprawling empire and effect major changes. The Justice of Constantine examines Constantine’s judicial and administrative legislation and his efforts to maintain control over the imperial bureaucracy, to guarantee the working of Roman justice, and to keep the will of his subjects throughout the Roman Empire. John Dillon first analyzes the record of Constantine’s legislation and its relationship to prior legislation. His initial chapters also serve as an introduction to Roman law and administration in later antiquity. Dillon then considers Constantine’s public edicts and internal communications across law, trial procedures, corruption, and punishment for administrative officials. How imperial officials relied on correspondence with Constantine to resolve legal questions is also included in it. A study of Constantine’s expedited appellate system, to ensure provincial justice, concludes the book. Constantine’s constitutions reveal much about the Theodosian Code and the laws included in it. Constantine consistently seeks direct sources of reliable information in order to enforce his will.


National Strategy for the COVID-19 Response and Pandemic Preparedness—Joseph R. Biden, Jr. 2021-05-18 The ultimate guide for anyone wondering how President Joe Biden will respond to the COVID-19 pandemic—his plans, goals, and executive orders in response to the coronavirus crisis. Shortly after being inaugurated as the 46th President of the United States, Joe Biden and his administration released this 200 page detailed plans his teams about the coronavirus pandemic. The National Strategy for the COVID-19 Response and Pandemic Preparedness breaks down seven crucial goals of President Joe Biden’s administration with regards to the coronavirus pandemic: 1. Restore trust with the American people. 2. Mount a safe, effective, and comprehensive vaccine campaign. 3. Mitigate spread through expanding mask wearing, testing, data, treatments, health care, and contact tracing. 4. Immediately expand emergency relief and support services. 5. Safely reopen schools, businesses, and travel while protecting workers. 6. Protect those most at risk and advance equity, including across racial, ethnic, and rural/urban lines. 7. Restore U.S. leadership globally and build better preparedness for future threats. Each of these goals are explained and detailed in the book, with evidence about the current circumstances and how we got here, as well as plans and actions to achieve each goal. Also included is the full text of the many Executive Orders that will be issued by President Biden to address the ongoing National Strategy for the COVID-19 Response and Pandemic Preparedness is required reading for anyone interested in or concerned about the COVID-19 pandemic and its effects on American society.
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When somebody should go to the ebook stores, search initiation by shop, shelf by shelf, it is really problematic. This is why we offer the book compilations in this website. It will very ease you to look guide judicial control over administration and protect the as you such as.

By searching the title, publisher, or authors of guide you in fact want, you can discover them rapidly. In the house, workplace, or perhaps in your method can be every best place within net connections. If you seek to download and install the judicial control over administration and protect the, it is no question simple then, before currently we extend the connect to buy and create bargains to download and install judicial control over administration and protect the hence simple!